

Adaptive Diagonal Loading for Robust Minimum Power Distortionless Response Beamformer

Sahebgowda S Patil, M Sivasankar, Venkatesha K, Dr. A Vengadarajan

Electronics & Radar Development Establishment, DRDO, C. V. Raman Nagar, Bangalore-560093

sahebgowda.s.patil@lrde.drdo.in

Abstract - Most of the modern radar and sonar uses adaptive beam forming to eliminate the interference. The basic requirement of the adaptive algorithm is to eliminate the interference and increase the Signal-to-Interference-plus-Noise Ratio (SINR). The efficiency of the adaptive algorithm depends on the accurate estimation of the spatial covariance matrix. Generally the data used to estimate the covariance matrix should not contain any signal of interest, if so adaptive algorithm eliminates the signal also along with the interference. Diagonal loading is one of the most widely used and effective method to improve robustness of adaptive beamformer, but selecting the diagonal loading value has become a key aspect. In the absence of complete knowledge of signal characteristics and continuously changing environment, fixed diagonal loading method may not give desired performance, hence the adaptive method is essential. In this paper we propose adaptive diagonal loading method for Minimum Power Distortionless Response beamformer (MPDR), which systematically computes the diagonal loading value based on the covariance matrix and its eigen values. Simulation results demonstrates significant performance improvement of proposed method as compared with the fixed diagonal loading method.

Keywords - Spatial covariance matrix, Adaptive diagonal loading, Minimum power distortionless response beamformer.

I. INTRODUCTION

The basic idea behind the adaptive algorithms is to eliminate unwanted signals from the side lobe regions. The adaptive algorithms are broadly classified into three categories^[1] based on computing the adaptive weights. Recursive Least Squares method and Steepest Decent method converge much slower than that of Sample Matrix Inversion method. Sample Matrix Inversion method needs to estimate the sample covariance matrix from the available data. The data used to estimate the covariance matrix should not contain the desired signal, but in practical applications it is not possible to completely eliminate the desired signal.

Sufficient amount of data samples are required to estimate the spatial covariance matrix, otherwise beamformer performance degradation is expected^[2]. If the data used to estimate the covariance matrix has the desired signal, then the adaptive algorithm places null in the direction of the signal of interest depending on the signal

to noise ratio. Diagonal loading has proved as an effective way to improve the robustness of the optimal beamformer. But selecting the diagonal loading level is the problem of interest. Adaptive diagonal loading value has been estimated for steering vector errors by Vincent and Besson^[2], DOA mismatch and array perturbations by Jiang, Zhu and Sun^[3].

The structure of the paper as follows: explanation of adaptive diagonal loading method based on covariance matrix, data model used for the simulations, simulation results and finally conclusion along with references.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

1. Array model

Consider P narrow band signal sources impinging on the array of N elements, The complex envelope of the received vector can be written as

$$X(t) = A(\theta_p)s_p(t) + n(t) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

where $X(t) = [x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t) \dots x_N(t)]$, the complex envelope of the narrowband signals $s(t) = [s_1(t), s_2(t), s_3(t) \dots s_p(t)]$ and a complex white Gaussian noise, $n(t) = [n_1(t), n_2(t), n_3(t) \dots n_N(t)]$. A is a DOA matrix, $A = [a(\theta_0), a(\theta_1), a(\theta_2) \dots a(\theta_{p-1})]$.

If we add narrow band interferences, then

$$Y(t) = X(t) + I(\theta_p)j_p(t) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Assuming that the noise is spatially and temporally uncorrelated, signals and interferences are statistically independent to each other but spatially and temporally correlated. The covariance matrix will be of the following form

$$R = \sigma_0^2 a(\theta_0)a^H(\theta_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{P-1} \sigma_i^2 I(\theta_i)I^H(\theta_i) + \sigma_n^2 \dots \dots (3)$$

The first term in (3) corresponds to the desired signal, the other term $\sum_{i=1}^{P-1} \sigma_i^2 I(\theta_i)I^H(\theta_i)$ corresponds to the interferences and σ_n^2 is the thermal noise power. In

practical situations, R is replaced with the estimated spatial co-variance matrix by using K number of samples

$$\hat{R} = YY^H \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

2. MPDR with diagonal loading

The well known optimum beamformer minimizes the power under distortionless constraint

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \min \\ w \end{matrix} W^H R W \dots \dots \dots (5) \right.$$

$$s.t W^H a(\theta_0) = 1$$

the solution for the above problem is

$$W_0 = \frac{R^{-1}a(\theta_0)}{a^H(\theta_0)R^{-1}a(\theta_0)} \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

When R is replaced with \hat{R} , a with a_m and the data contains the desired signal MVDR becomes MPDR. For the diagonal loading \hat{R} is replaced with $\hat{R} + \sigma_L^2 I$, where σ_L^2 is a diagonal loading value and I is identity matrix.

III. PROPOSED ADAPTIVE DIAGONAL LOADING METHOD

If we analyse the covariance matrix with R_{ratio} , where

$$R_{ratio} = \frac{\text{mean of diag}(R)}{\text{mean of nondiag}(R)} \dots \dots (7)$$

When there is no external signal then R_{ratio} value will be very high. When there is an external signal R_{ratio} will converge towards unity depends on the external signal power. We use External Signal Indicator (ESI) to indicate the presence of external signal. when ESI is enabled our proposed method is going to be applied otherwise conventional beamformer is used i.e. adaptive beamformer is performed only if the external interference is present.

Adaptive diagonal loading level can be derived from the eigen values and the non-diagonal mean of the spatial covariance matrix. The mean of eigen values of the covariance matrix corresponding to system noise is σ_n^2 . finally the Load-to-Noise Ratio (LNR) is calculated.

$$LNR = 0.5 * (\text{mean of nondiag}(R \text{ in dB}) - \sigma_n^2 \text{ in dB}) \dots \dots (8)$$

fig (1) shows that for various levels of system noise levels the ESI remains disabled since external signal is not present. In fig(2) we can observe the indication of external source by ESI for various levels of SINR. It can be observed that the R_{ratio} is crossing the threshold and enabling ESI. The threshold can be derived from the system noise.

Generally the eigen values of system noise will be less than the signal or interference, simple way of finding the eigen values corresponding to system noise is as follows: 1. Arrange the eigen values in ascending order, 2. Find out

the boundary where eigen value is drastically changing, 3. Take the mean of all the eigen values before the boundary.




Fig. 1. Covariance Matrix analysis for Noise.




Fig. 2. Covariance Matrix analysis for external signal.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The above explained method has been validated with different scenarios. In the simulations we compare adaptive diagonal loading method with conventional beamformer, MPDR, fixed diagonal loading method.

Array used in this simulation has 25 element Uniform Linear Array with a half-wavelength inter element spacing. System noise is assumed as zero dB. Three narrow band interferences are injected with 25, 30, and 40 dB INR, at -38° , -26° , and $+31^\circ$ correspondingly. The desired signal is injected with a various levels of SNR at 0° of the antenna. The direction of the interferences are shown with red vertical lines.




Fig. 3. Array response of various method with SNR of 10 dB

When SNR of the desired signal is less than the interference INR, MPDR has a little distortion in the array response but fixed-DL and proposed ADL behaves well.




Fig. 4. Array response of various method with SNR of 20 dB

As SNR increases to 20 dB, MPDR has deviated from the required pattern and producing unwanted high side lobes, fixed DL is performing better but ADL is optimum as shown in fig(4).

MPDR puts the null in the direction of desired signal, thinking it as interference, because MPDR cannot differentiate between jammer and signal. If the SNR is less than INR, effect on desired signal is less, as the SNR increases the MPDR starts putting the null in the direction of desired signal, depth of the null increases as the SNR increases. This can be observed in the figures following.




Fig. 5. Array response of various method with SNR of 35 dB

SNR is increased to 35 dB, MPDR and fixed DL both deviated from the required pattern and producing unwanted high side lobes, where as proposed ADL is performing as per requirement. Same can be observed from fig(5).

The eigen values of the external signal and the noise has been shown in fig(6). The noise eigen values are at zero and the ESI eigen values are separated well with noise eigen values.




Fig. 6. Eigen values of Noise and External signals

When SNR is more than the INR values, MPDR, fixed DL places a null in the direction of the signal assuming it as an interference. Adaptive diagonal loading still maintains the maximum gain in the signal direction and places the partial null in the interference directions as shown in fig(7).




Fig. 7. Array response of various method with $SNR > INR$

V. CONCLUSION

We discussed about the deficiency of MPDR beamformer, fixed diagonal loading and proposed an adaptive diagonal loading method for robust adaptive nulling of interference and maintain the unit gain in the signal direction. Simulation results has demonstrated that proposed method is performing better than MPDR and fixed diagonal loading under different scenarios. If SNR is much higher than INR , other methods puts perfect null in the signal direction along with the jammer direction, hence the signal is rejected and cannot be detected. Where as in the proposed method signal rejection is very minimal and the null in the jammer direction is partial, this is acceptable because the signal strength is sufficient enough that it can be detected by the detection process.

REFERENCES

- [1] Harry L. Van Trees, "Optimum Array Processing Part IV of Detection, Estimation and Modulation Theory", A JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., PUBLICATION.
- [2] F.Vincent, O.Besson, "Steering vector errors and diagonal loading" IEE Proc-Radar and Sonar navig, Vol no.151, No.6, December 2006.
- [3] Biao Jiang, YeZhu, ChangyuSun, "Robust beamforming with Array shape distortion dependent Diagonal loading" 10th Asia-Pacific Conference on Communications on Multi Dimensional Mobile communications,2004.
- [4] XinSong, JinkuanWang, BinWang, YinghuaHan, "Robust Adaptive Beamforming under Quadratic Constraint in the presence of Mismatches" International conference on Automation and Logistics, China August,2009.
- [5] Francois Vincent and Olivier Besson "Steering Vector Uncertainties and Diagonal Loading" 2004 IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop.

AUTHORS BIO DATA



[1]Sahebgowda S Patil is working as a Scientist in LRDE, DRDO since 2002. Received B.E degree in Electronics & Communication Engineering from Gulbarga University and M.Tech degree in Radio Frequency Design & Technology from IIT Delhi. His areas of interest are Radar System, Signal Processing, Array Processing, and Parallel & Distributed Computing.



[2]Mamilla Sivasankar is working as a Scientist in Electronics and Radar Development Establishment since 2008. His areas of interest are Radar System Design and Sensor Array Signal Processing.



[3] Venkatesha K is working as a Scientist in LRDE, DRDO since 1987. Received B.E degree in Electronics & Communication Engineering from Mysore University and M.E degree in System Science & Automation from IISc. Bangalore. His areas of interest are Signal Processing, Data Processing and Radar System.



[4] Dr. Vengadarajan A is working as a Scientist in DRDO since 1989. Received B.E degree in Electronics & Communication Engineering and M.Tech & Ph.D in Microwave Engineering. His areas of interest are Radar Signal Processing, Array Processing, STAP and System Engineering.